Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2019 Office of the Secretary Of Defense

R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)

0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 5:

PE 0605022D8Z I Defense Exportability Program

Date: February 2018

System Development & Demonstration (SDD)

Appropriation/Budget Activity

COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019 Base	FY 2019 OCO	FY 2019 Total	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	11.382	2.853	3.162	1.489	-	1.489	3.698	3.465	2.939	2.993	Continuing	Continuing
013: Defense Exportability Features (DEF) Program	11.382	2.853	3.162	1.489	-	1.489	3.698	3.465	2.939	2.993	Continuing	Continuing

#### Note

The FY 2019 funding request was reduced by \$2.486 million to account for the availability of PY execution balances. This reduction was based on FY 2017 expenditures as of September 30, 2017, and accounts for a 22% reduction in the CWP FY 2019 proposed budget.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Defense Exportability Features (DEF) Pilot Program is a result of a USD (AT&L) sponsored legislative proposal for authorities to better prepare warfighting systems for non-US use. This program funds activities to support identification of major defense acquisition programs for possible export, and the planning for design and incorporation of exportability features during the research and development phases of these programs. Features include, but are not limited to, technology and engineering design activities such as capability differentials, anti-tamper, system assurance, and software assurance. Activities include the development of program protection strategies for the program; the design and incorporation of exportability features into the system; implementation of exportability requirements into contracts; and other research, development, test, and evaluation activities.

Defense exportability features play a critically important role in United States Government/DoD efforts to build partnership capacity. Funds support building joint and coalition environments by enabling the export of DoD systems to a wide range of partner nations, resulting in improved security and interoperability. In addition to the operational benefits, by providing these resources up front, the United States and partner nations will save significant resources by more efficiently designing and producing exportable U.S. systems.

A number of designated systems participating in the DEF Pilot Program in FY18 will continue defining and implementing DEF 'best practices' related to designing and developing technology protection in the areas of program management, system engineering, and technology protection measures in the DoD acquisition process. Failure to consider export variant designs early in the acquisition process results in increased costs, delayed delivery, and higher risk of sensitive technology compromise due to ad-hoc sales later in production. Early development of export variants, including systems design approaches to integrate exportable anti-tamper protection and differential capability requirements to lower production costs, makes it possible to improve quality and timely deliveries to allies and friends, and may enhance US industry share of the global marketplace.

PE 0605022D8Z: Defense Exportability Program

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2019 Office of the Secretary Of Defense

Appropriation/Budget Activity

0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 5:

System Development & Demonstration (SDD)

R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)

PE 0605022D8Z I Defense Exportability Program

Date: February 2018

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019 Base	FY 2019 OCO	FY 2019 Total
Previous President's Budget	2.920	3.162	2.955	-	2.955
Current President's Budget	2.853	3.162	1.489	-	1.489
Total Adjustments	-0.067	0.000	-1.466	-	-1.466
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Reprogrammings</li> </ul>	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-0.064	_			
FFRDC Transfer	-0.003	-	-1.466	-	-1.466

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2019 Office of the Secretary Of Defense									Date: February 2018			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 5									lumber/Name) ense Exportability Features (DEF)			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019 Base	FY 2019 OCO	FY 2019 Total	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
013: Defense Exportability Features (DEF) Program	11.382	2.853	3.162	1.489	-	1.489	3.698	3.465	2.939	2.993	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Defense Exportability Features (DEF) Pilot Program is a result of a USD (AT&L) sponsored legislative proposal for authorities to better prepare warfighting systems for non-US use. This program funds activities to support identification of major defense acquisition programs for possible export, and the planning for design and incorporation of exportability features during the research and development phases of these programs. Features include, but are not limited to, technology and engineering design activities such as capability differentials, anti-tamper, system assurance, and software assurance. Activities include the development of program protection strategies for the program; the design and incorporation of exportability features into the system; implementation of exportability requirements into contracts; and other research, development, test, and evaluation activities.

Defense exportability features play a critically important role in United States Government/DoD efforts to build partnership capacity. Funds support building joint and coalition environments by enabling the export of DoD systems to a wide range of partner nations, resulting in improved security and interoperability. In addition to the operational benefits, by providing these resources up front, the United States and partner nations will save significant resources by more efficiently designing and producing exportable U.S. systems.

A number of designated systems participating in the DEF Pilot Program in FY18 will continue defining and implementing DEF 'best practices' related to designing and developing technology protection in the areas of program management, system engineering, and technology protection measures in the DoD acquisition process. Failure to consider export variant designs early in the acquisition process results in increased costs, delayed delivery, and higher risk of sensitive technology compromise due to ad-hoc sales later in production. Early development of export variants, including systems design approaches to integrate exportable anti-tamper protection and differential capability requirements to lower production costs, makes it possible to improve quality and timely deliveries to allies and friends, and may enhance US industry share of the global marketplace.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019
Title: Defense Exportability Features (DEF) Program	2.853	3.162	1.489
FY 2018 Plans: In FY 2018, the pilot program is anticipating initiating or continuing contracts for DEF feasibility studies or DEF design activities on the following previously selected systems (plus any new DEF Pilot Program designated systems selected by OSD for FY 2018 - 2019):			
- Three-Dimensional Expeditionary Long-Range Radar (US Air Force)			

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)  FY 2017 FY 2018 FY 2018  Air and Missile Defense Radar (US Navy) - Indirect Fires Protection Capability (US Army) - Miniature Air Launched Decoy (US Air Force) - Land Mine Removal System (US Army) - Miniature Air Launched Decoy (US Army) - Review of major defense acquisition programs for exportability as part of the major milestone review process Identify and select new pilot program candidates from Service Acquisition Executive nominations Identify Service leads and subject matter experts, to provide support to programs, prior to Milestone C, to develop plans for exportability features Manage, resource, and track the completion of the contractor exportability feasibility studies and design activities Oversed drafting of DEF Lessons Learned, Interim Progress Review briefings, and Final Reports from DEF studies conducted in FY 2018 Draft and submit the annual report to Congress on the program.  FY 2019 Plans:  The focus for FY 2019 for the DEF pilot program will be to execute feasibility studies from newly selected DEF Pilot Programs that have yet to receive DEF funding, and to conduct follow-on DEF design studies on designated DEF pilot programs. As with the FY 2018 programs, FY 2018 feasibility studies will define the required actions for incorporating DEF into programs, begin DEF designs on select designated programs, and assess the potential costs of those actions. OUSD (AT&L) will continue to engage with program offices through the Military Department DEF POCs, and serve as a lisison among the program offices, the Military Departments, and other defense agencies to facilitate the feasibility studies. OUSD (AT&L) will work with the program managers and contracting officers to implement the necessary contractual modifications to ensure that the feasibility studies were executed.  FY 2018 to FY 2019 forerease Statement: FY 2018 to FY 2019 decrease is driven by directed reductions to account for the availability of PY execution balances.		UNULAUGII ILD					
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)  - Joint Air to Ground Missile (US Army) - Air and Missile Defense Radar (US Navy) - Indirect Fires Protection Capability (US Army) - Future Vertical Lift (US Army) - Indirect Fires Protection Capability (US Army) - Indirect Fires Protection Capability (US Army) - Future Vertical Lift (US Army) - Indirect Fires Protection Capability (US Army) - Lower Tier Air Missile Defense (US Army) - Lower Tier Air Missile Defense (US Army) - Review of major defense acquisition programs for exportability as part of the major milestone review process Identify and select new pilot program candidates from Service Acquisition Executive nominations Identify service leads and subject matter experts, to provide support to programs, prior to Milestone C, to develop plans for exportability features Oversee drafting of DEF Lessons Learned, Interim Progress Review briefings, and Final Reports from DEF studies conducted in FY 2018 Oversee drafting of DEF Lessons Learned, Interim Progress Review briefings, and Final Reports from DEF studies conducted in FY 2018 Oversee drafting of DEF Lessons Learned, Interim Progress Review briefings, and Final Reports from DEF studies conducted in FY 2018 Oversee drafting of DEF funding, and to conduct follow-on DEF design studies from newly selected DEF Pilot Programs that have yet to receive DEF funding, and to conduct follow-on DEF design studies on designated DEF pilot programs, begin DEF designs on select designated programs, and assess the potential costs of those actions. OUSD (AT&L) (Graft), Mill continue to engage with program offices through the Military Department DEF POCs, and serve as a liaison among the program demanders of the Military Departments, and other defense agencies to facilitate the feasibility studies. OUSD (AT&L) (	Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2019 Office of the Secreta		Date: F	ebruary 2018			
- Joint Air to Ground Missile (US Army) - Air and Missile Defense Radar (US Navy) - Indirect Fires Protection Capability (US Army) - Future Vertical Lift (US Army) - Future Vertical Lift (US Army) - Miniature Air Launched Decoy (US Air Force) - Land Mine Removal System (US Army) - Lower Tier Air Missile Defense (US Army) - Lower Tier Air Missile Defense (US Army) - Review of major defense acquisition programs for exportability as part of the major milestone review process Identify and select new pilot program candidates from Service Acquisition Executive nominations Identify Service leads and subject matter experts, to provide support to programs, prior to Milestone C, to develop plans for exportability features Manage, resource, and track the completion of the contractor exportability feasibility studies and design activities Oversee drafting of DEF Lessons Learned, Interim Progress Review briefings, and Final Reports from DEF studies conducted in FY 2018 Draft and submit the annual report to Congress on the program.  FY 2019 Plans: The focus for FY 2019 for the DEF pilot program will be to execute feasibility studies from newly selected DEF Pilot Programs that have yet to receive DEF funding, and to conduct follow-on DEF design studies on designated DEF pilot programs. As with the FY 2018 programs, FY 2018 feasibility studies will define the required actions for incorporating DEF into programs, begin DEF designs on select designated programs, and assess the potential costs of those actions. OUSD (AT&L) will continue to engage with program offices through the Military Departments, and other defense agencies to facilitate the feasibility studies. OUSD (AT&L)/IC will ensure the DEF feasibility studies are addressed in program Acquisition Strategies and Program Protection Plans (PP). For Post-MS B platforms, when there is already a contract in place, OUSD (AT&L) will work with the program managers and contracting officers to implement the necessary contractual modifications to ensure that the feasi		013 <i>1 L</i>	013 I Defense Exportability Features (DE				
- Air and Missile Defense Radar (US Navy) - Indirect Fires Protection Capability (US Army) - Future Vertical Lift (US Army) - Miniature Air Launched Decoy (US Air Force) - Land Mine Removal System (US Army) - Lower Tier Air Missile Defense (US Army) - Review of major defense acquisition programs for exportability as part of the major milestone review process Identify and select new pilot program candidates from Service Acquisition Executive nominations Identify Service leads and subject matter experts, to provide support to programs, prior to Milestone C, to develop plans for exportability features Manage, resource, and track the completion of the contractor exportability feasibility studies and design activities Oversee drafting of DEF Lessons Learned, Interim Progress Review briefings, and Final Reports from DEF studies conducted in FY 2018 Draft and submit the annual report to Congress on the program.  FY 2019 Plans: - The focus for FY 2019 for the DEF pilot program will be to execute feasibility studies from newly selected DEF Pilot Programs that have yet to receive DEF funding, and to conduct follow-on DEF design studies on designated DEF pilot programs. As with the FY 2018 programs, FY 2018 feasibility studies will define the required actions for incorporating DEF into programs, begin DEF designs on select designated programs, and assess the potential costs of those actions. OUSD (AT&L) will continue to engage with program offices through the Military Department, and other defense agencies to facilitate the feasibility studies. OUSD (AT&L) (IX will ensure the DEF feasibility studies are addressed in program Acquisition Strategies and Program Protection Plans (PPP). For Post-MS B platforms, when there is already a contract in place, OUSD (AT&L) will work with the program managers and contracting officers to implement the necessary contractual modifications to ensure that the feasibility studies were executed.  FY 2018 to FY 2019 Increase/Decrease Statement: FY 2018 to FY 2019 decrease is dri	B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	
The focus for FY 2019 for the DEF pilot program will be to execute feasibility studies from newly selected DEF Pilot Programs that have yet to receive DEF funding, and to conduct follow-on DEF design studies on designated DEF pilot programs. As with the FY 2018 programs, FY 2018 feasibility studies will define the required actions for incorporating DEF into programs, begin DEF designs on select designated programs, and assess the potential costs of those actions. OUSD (AT&L) will continue to engage with program offices through the Military Department DEF POCs, and serve as a liaison among the program offices, the Military Departments, and other defense agencies to facilitate the feasibility studies. OUSD (AT&L)/IC will ensure the DEF feasibility studies are addressed in program Acquisition Strategies and Program Protection Plans (PPP). For Post-MS B platforms, when there is already a contract in place, OUSD (AT&L) will work with the program managers and contracting officers to implement the necessary contractual modifications to ensure that the feasibility studies were executed.  FY 2018 to FY 2019 Increase/Decrease Statement:  FY 2018 to FY 2019 decrease is driven by directed reductions to account for the availability of PY execution balances.	<ul> <li>Air and Missile Defense Radar (US Navy)</li> <li>Indirect Fires Protection Capability (US Army)</li> <li>Future Vertical Lift (US Army)</li> <li>Miniature Air Launched Decoy (US Air Force)</li> <li>Land Mine Removal System (US Army)</li> <li>Lower Tier Air Missile Defense (US Army)</li> <li>Review of major defense acquisition programs for exportability as part of the Identify and select new pilot program candidates from Service Acquisition</li> <li>Identify Service leads and subject matter experts, to provide support to preexportability features.</li> <li>Manage, resource, and track the completion of the contractor exportability</li> <li>Oversee drafting of DEF Lessons Learned, Interim Progress Review briefit</li> <li>FY 2018.</li> </ul>	Executive nominations. ograms, prior to Milestone C, to develop plans for feasibility studies and design activities.					
·	The focus for FY 2019 for the DEF pilot program will be to execute feasibility have yet to receive DEF funding, and to conduct follow-on DEF design studies FY 2018 programs, FY 2018 feasibility studies will define the required action designs on select designated programs, and assess the potential costs of the with program offices through the Military Department DEF POCs, and served Departments, and other defense agencies to facilitate the feasibility studies studies are addressed in program Acquisition Strategies and Program Protester is already a contract in place, OUSD (AT&L) will work with the program necessary contractual modifications to ensure that the feasibility studies were FY 2018 to FY 2019 Increase/Decrease Statement:	lies on designated DEF pilot programs. As with a ns for incorporating DEF into programs, begin Di hose actions. OUSD (AT&L) will continue to engram a liaison among the program offices, the Milia. OUSD (AT&L)/IC will ensure the DEF feasibility ection Plans (PPP). For Post-MS B platforms, with managers and contracting officers to implement ere executed.	the EF age tary y hen				
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals 2.853 3.162	r i zu io tu r i zu ia decrease is driven by directed reductions to account to		htotale	2 852	3 162	1.4	

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2019 Office of the Secretary	Of Defense	Date: February 2018
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 5	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605022D8Z I Defense Exportability Program	Project (Number/Name) 013 I Defense Exportability Features (DEF) Program
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)		
<u>Remarks</u>		
D. Acquisition Strategy N/A		
E. Performance Metrics N/A		

PE 0605022D8Z: *Defense Exportability Program* Office of the Secretary Of Defense

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E	Project C	ost Analysis: PB 2	019 Offic	e of the S	Secretary	Of Defen	ise					Date:	February	2018	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 5						R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605022D8Z I Defense Exportability Program Program Program Program					efense Ex	,	/ Feature	s (DEF)	
Product Developme	nt (\$ in Mi	illions)		FY 2	2017	FY 2	2018	FY 2 Ba			2019 CO	FY 2019 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Prior Years	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
DEF	TBD	Various : Various	11.382	2.853		3.162		1.489		0.000		1.489	Continuing	Continuing	-
		Subtotal	11.382	2.853		3.162		1.489		0.000		1.489	Continuing	Continuing	N/A
			Prior Years	FY 2	2017	FY 2	2018	FY 2 Ba			2019 CO	FY 2019 Total	Cost To	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
		Project Cost Totals	11.382	2.853		3.162		1.489		0.000		1.489	Continuing	Continuing	N/A

Remarks

Exhibit R-4, RDT&E Schedule Profile: PB 2019 Office of the Secretary Of Defense

Appropriation/Budget Activity
0400 / 5

PROJECT PLAN

10/1/2016

10/1/2017

PY 2017 Project Execution

FY 2019 Project Execution

FY 2019 Project Execution

Exhibit R-4, RDT&E Schedule Profile: PB 2019 Office of the Secretary Of Defense

R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)
PP 0605022D8Z / Defense Exportability
Program

Project (Number/Name)
013 / Defense Exportability Features (DEF)
Program

Project Execution
10/1/2017
10/1/2018
10/1/2019
10/1/2020
10/1/2021
10/1/2022

FY 2020 Project Execution

FY 2021 Project Execution

FY 2022 Project Execution

Exhibit R-4A, RDT&E Schedule Details: PB 2019 Office of the Secretary Of Defense  Date: February 2018							
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 5	, ,	, ,	umber/Name) nse Exportability Features (DEF)				

## Schedule Details

	St	art	E	nd
Events by Sub Project	Quarter	Year	Quarter	Year
Defense Exportability Features (DEF)				
FY 2017	1	2017	4	2019
FY 2018	1	2018	4	2020
FY 2019	1	2019	4	2021
FY 2020	1	2020	4	2022
FY 2021	1	2021	4	2023

# Note

N/A